UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIODNER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/756,125	01/09/2001	Tadamitsu Kishimoto	053466/0296	6506
	7590 01/15/201 CARDNER LLP	EXAMINER		
SUITE 500 3000 K STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20007			EWOLDT, GERALD R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/15/2010	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/756,125	KISHIMOTO ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
	·	G. R. Ewoldt, Ph.D.	1644			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app					
Period fo	• •					
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANS INSTRUCTION OF A SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COM 36(a). In no event, however vill apply and will expire SIX cause the application to be	MUNICATION.  , may a reply be timely filed  (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 No	ovember 2009 and	<u> 29 December 2009</u> .			
2a) <u></u>	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 193	35 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9,13 and 14</u> is/are pending in the application.					
,—	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) <u>9,13 and 14</u> is/are rejected.					
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requireme	nt.			
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the at	tached Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ol>						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	_			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	see the attached detailed Office action for a list	ог тве сеттвей сорк	as not received.			
Attachmen		_				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		erview Summary (PTO-413) per No(s)/Mail Date			
3) Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	5) 🔲 No	tice of Informal Patent Application ner:			

Application/Control Number: 09/756,125

Art Unit: 1644

## DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

- 1. A request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed 12/29/09 in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's amendment and remarks filed 11/30/09 have been entered.
- 2. Claims 9, 13, and 14 are being acted upon.
- 3. In view of Applicant's amendment, the objection to the specification has been withdrawn. Applicant is reminded, however, that an amended Sequence Listing deleting improperly added SEQ ID NOS:17-20 is still required.
- 4. In view of Applicant's amendment, the previous rejections under the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 112 for the introduction of new matter into the claims has also been withdrawn.
- 5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Application/Control Number: 09/756,125

Art Unit: 1644

6. As set forth previously, Claims 9, 13, and 14 stand rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over Claims 1, 9, and 10 of U.S. Patent Application No. 11/585,172. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the instant claim and the claims of the '172 application both recite the a method of treating an IL-6 mediated disease, which would encompass chronic rheumatoid arthritis, by administering to a patient in need a PM-1 antibody, in particular the monoclonal hPM-1 antibody of FERM BP 2998 (which comprises the CDRs of the instant claims). Note that the method of inhibiting synovial cell growth of instant Claim 9 is clearly a treatment for arthritis.

Page 3

This is a  $\underline{\text{provisional}}$  obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Applicant again requests that this rejection be held in abeyance.

Applicant is advised that should this be the only remaining rejection after Final rejection the filing of a terminal disclaimed to overcome the rejection may be considered to be a new issue after Final rejection.

- 7. The following are new grounds for rejection.
- 8. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C.  $\S$  112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

9. Claims 9, 13, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as the specification does not contain a written description of the claimed invention, in that the disclosure does not reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s) had possession of the claimed invention at the time the application was filed. This is a rejection for the introduction of new matter into the claims.

The specification and the claims as originally filed do not provide support for the invention as now claimed, specifically: a method employing an antibody which is humanized using the CDR(s) of PM-1 (FERM BP-2998).

Application/Control Number: 09/756,125

Art Unit: 1644

Applicant is advised that the claims are now drawn to a method employing a genus of antibodies, i.e., antibodies comprising PM-1 CDR(s) in any human antibody framework, that is not disclosed in the specification.

Page 4

- 10. No claim is allowed.
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dr. Gerald Ewoldt whose telephone number is (571) 272-0843. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 7:30 am to 5:30 pm. A message may be left on the examiner's voice mail service. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Ram Shukla, can be reached on (571) 272-0878.
- 12. **Please Note:** Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197.

/G.R. Ewoldt/
G.R. Ewoldt, Ph.D.
Primary Examiner
Technology Center 1600